

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,592	01/28/2002	Valdemar Portney	13879	5114
75	590 05/28/2003			
SHELDON & MAK 9th Floor 225 South Lake Avenue			EXAMINER	
			WILLSE, DAVID H	
Pasadena, CA	91101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	∇
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	D

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\frac{\frac}\fint}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\fint}}}}}}{\frac}}}}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}
	Office Antiem Occasion	10/058,592	PORTNEY, VALC	EMAR
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dave Willse	3738	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence ac	Idress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 p period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nunication. 80) days, a reply within the statutory mir atutory period will apply and will expire to will, by statute cause the application to will.	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c	iy. ommunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>06 M</u> ay 2003 .		
2a) <u></u>		2b)⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.	
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practon of Claims	n for allowance except for fo	rmal matters, prosecution as to th	ie merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,6,11-1</u>	3 and 16-21 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7-10,14 and 15</u> is/are	rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		•
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election require	ment.	
	on Papers	•		
9)🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		•
10)🛛 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗆	Γhe proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a)□ approve	d b) disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re-	quired in reply to this Office act	ion.	
12) 🔲 🗆	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	ved.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	ved in Application No	
		ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1		Stage
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) 15)⊠ A	☐ The translation of the foreign lancknowledgment is made of a claim f	guage provisional application	on has been received.	,
Attachment				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC Other:	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 3, 4, 6, 11-13, and 16-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6. The Applicant indicated that claims 4, 20, and 21 are readable on the elected species; however, they depend from claims not listed in Paper No. 6. Therefore, claims 4, 20, and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration. Claims 16-18 are likewise withdrawn because the Figure 28 embodiment does not show first *and second* "connecting elements being attached to the optic" (claim 16, line 8).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following problems: the related applications section at the beginning of the specification should be updated. The disclosure is confusing as to whether the first location 760 lies on the connecting element 712 (Figure 27) or on the side region 718 (specification page 40, line 21). Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because Figures 10 and 11A-C should be labeled as "Prior Art". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 14 and 15 are indefinite and confusing relative to the rest of the disclosure because Figure 28 appears to depict the first location as being an element of the connecting element rather than the side region (e.g., claim 14, fifth line).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees (*In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,592

Art Unit: 3738

1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969)).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application (37 CFR 1.130(b)).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,152,959.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a side region and pincer arms defined at the claimed distal end loop would have followed from patent claim 24, last two lines, or from patent claim 28. Regarding claim 5, the widened attachment portion would have been obvious from the tangential connection with the optic as set forth in patent claim 1 (column 7, lines 53-55).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pannu, US 4,542,541: Figure 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,592

Art Unit: 3738

Page 4

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Cumming, US 6,197,059 B1: Figure 46. Regarding claims 9 and 10, the region terminated by the concave edge separates first and second transverse members, and the Cumming hinge region is viewed as the "orthogonal member" of claim 10 (lines 2-3).

Claims 8-10, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Tran et al., US 6,395,028 B1: Figures 1-3. (Note: claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 are not included because they appear to be supported in the Applicant's parent applications, which have earlier filing dates than that of the Tran et al. patent.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The receptionist's phone number is (703) 308-0858, and the main FAX numbers are (703) 305-3591, 3590.

dhw: D. Willse May 22, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 3738**